

Koulaxidi Dimitra-Mimika, PhD candidate Creph, dmkoulaxidi@uliege.be
Phenomenological Pro-seminar
13 December 2021

Rudiments in Husserl's *Experience and Judgment* (1939, henceforth *E&J*)

Scope of the oeuvre₁: A phenomenological theory of predicative judgment.

Erfahrung: the institution of experiences brought to their completion.

Urteil: segregation of intentional objects to Substrate and its Determinations.

Syntactically

S is p

 (1)

Scope of the oeuvre₂: Supplementation of Traditional Logic → formal criteria for a declarative statement's validity (2)

E&J → subjective operations that underlie (2) laying claim to truth.

How is evidence obtained? Subjective self-evidence based on intuition for the apodictic evidence of a declarative statement to obtain.

Scope of the oeuvre₃: How is science possible, rightfully justified knowledge?

Two requirements: **a.** evidence of the object given to consciousness, **b.** self-evidence of the judgments cast on these objects.

Concept of belief ————— Concept of Experiences

Partition of the book:

A. Pre-predicative experience

B. Predicative thought and the Objectivities of Understanding

C. The constitution of General Objectivities and Judging in General

A.

Apprehension: the grasping by consciousness of a particular object, in any level of certainty.

Intentional act that registers the object to consciousness.

Apprehensive contemplation: object-safeguarding for the open procedure of its **explicative determination** to ensue.

Explicative determination: it consists in the object's always-being-retained-in-grasp in intuition enrichment.

Apprehension of the object in an **external** and an **internal** horizon. (3)

B.

What is to be added on top of **explicative determination** for the syntactical conversion of substrate-predicate to SUBSTRATE AND DETERMINATION?

S is p, q (1.a.)

Husserl's answer → an active bringing-to-completion by the ego

The ego's interest diverts in the following way:

$S \rightarrow p, Sp \rightarrow q, Spq \rightarrow r$ etc. (1.b.)

Syntactical level SUBJECT AND PREDICATES correspond to substantivity and adjectivity (the forms of the ultimate cores of judgments).

Striving of the ego on the predicative level for the ACHIEVEMENT OF KNOWLEDGE → epistemic desideratum.

*Pre – predicative level – validity /
/ predicative level – conviction by autocorrection of the ego*



Productive spontaneity → Veracity



Objectivities of Understanding

Eliciting objectivities in a nexus of cognitive acts of knowledge. (4)

C. Reminder: Scope of the oeuvre₃ is the investigation of the constitution of universal objectivities on the basis of pre-predicative thought.

How is trans-temporally accessible, rightfully justified knowledge, *id est* episteme (επιστήμη) to be attained?

Episteme demands constitution of GENERAL OBJECTIVITIES.

Individual Judgments ≠ General Judgments

Pertinent cognitive level for the formation of empirical concepts.

The general objectivities appear in a typified horizon. (5, cf. 3 and 4)



Certainty of Belief in the general objectivity

Lowest level concrete generalities spring forth on the basis of similarity and dissimilarity (see analogy with the first part of the organisation of the sensuous field).

“Red is different than blue.” (6)

“Red” and “blue” are **material** general concepts. On top of that, formal generalities (likeness, difference, unity, plurality, group, whole, part, object, property) arise from syntactical comparison of the formal aspects of syntactical structures.

Methodological device for the constitution of eidoi (species), the universal generalities: eidetic variation.

Evidence of the eidos in a mode of intuition → “Essence-seeing” (Wesenanschau)

Literature

Husserl E., *Experience and Judgment, Investigations in a Genealogy of Logic*, Ed. Ludwig Landgrebe, Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, 1973.

Hintikka J., “The notion of intuition in Husserl”, *Revue Internationale de Philosophie*, 224:2, 57-79, 2003.

Kidd C., “Husserl’s Phenomenological Theory of Intuition”, *Rational Intuition*, Linda Osbeck and Barbara Held (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 131-150, 2014.

Moran D. & Cohen J., Husserl’s Dictionary in https://www.academia.edu/10194179/The_Husserl_Dictionary